United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/821,981	04/12/2004	Seth Orlow	ORLOWIA	3666
1444 BROWDY AN	7590 12/04/2007 ID NEIMARK, P.L.L.C.		EXAMINER	
624 NINTH STREET, NW			BROWN, COURTNEY A	
SUITE 300 WASHINGTON, DC 20001-5303			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1616	-
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/04/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

**	•					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/821,981	ORLOW ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Courtney A. Brown	1616				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	•					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 Ag	oril 2004.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 4-15 is/are withdrawn 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or						
Application Papers		·				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the definition of the legislation of the legislation of the drawing (s) is object to be defined in the drawing (s) is object to be defined as the drawing (s) is object to be defined as the drawing (s) is object to be defined as the definition of the defin	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive i (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)	_					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/27/2004 and 2/28/2005.	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number:

10/821,981 Art Unit: 1616

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

Priority to Provisional Application filed on April 11, 2003 is acknowledged.

Information Disclosure Statement

Receipt of Information Disclosure Statements filed on October 27, 2004 and February 28, 2005 is acknowledged.

Status of the Claims

Applicant's claims are drawn toward compounds stimulating and inhibiting melanin formation, and methods for screening these compounds.

Applicant elected Group I, claims 1-3 without traverse. Claims 4-15 are withdrawn from further consideration.

Claims 1-3, filed on April 12, 2004 are currently pending examination for patentability.

Claim Rejections- 35 U.S.C. § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In claim 1, applicant failed to specifically identify the trisubstituted triazines and agents. When Examiner referred to the specification for clarification, Applicant does not particularly point out and identify the trisubstituted triazines and agents in claim 1 of the instant application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.

10/821,981

Art Unit: 1616

- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating 4. obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims and 87-93 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mars et al. (Pigment Cell Research, August 1995, Munksgaard International Publishers, volume 8 issue 4,pages 194-201).

Applicant's Invention

Applicant claims a method for screening for a test compound selected from the group consisting of trisubstituted triazines and agents that interact with prohibitin or mitochondrial ATPase to determine if the test compound is one that inhibits or stimulates pigmentation comprising: (a) determining the amount of melanin in cells or cell extract; (b) treating the cells with a test compound; and (c) determining the amount of melanin or tyrosinase in the treated cell or cell extracts; (d) wherein a change in the amount of melanin(an increase in melanin indicating that the compound is a stimulator of pigmentation and a decrease in melanin indicating that the compound is an inhibitor of pigmentation) or tyrosinase in the cells or the extract of the cells in the presence of the test compound as compared to the absence of the test compound indicates that the test compound is one that affects pigmentation.

Determination of the scope and the content of the prior art (MPEP 2141.01)

Mars et al. disclose the use of in vitro screening methods to identify possible melanoma seekers due to binding to intermediates such as dopaquinone produced in the melanin synthetic pathway. Mars et al. disclose that trithiocyanuric acid (a trisubstituted triazine) decreases dopachrome formation by binding to earlier intermediates such as dopaquinone of the melanin synthetic pathway (see abstract)

Ascertainment of the difference between the prior art and the claims (MPEP 2141.02)

Mars et al. do not disclose the steps of the screening method for the test compounds specifically for the stimulation of pigmentation. The specific tools, material and procedural steps involved in such screening method comes within the scope of the customary practice followed by persons skilled in the art.

Finding of prima facie obviousness

Rationale and Motivation (MPEP 2142-2143)

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to combine the teachings of Mars et al. and use specific tools common in the art for the claimed screening methods. As Mars et al. shows, the trisubstituted triazine compound, trithiocyanuric acid, has melanim-affinic properties (page 194, introduction) and has little or no inhibition of tyrosinase activity(abstract) which is an indicator of the compound's ability to stimulate or inhibit pigmentation.

Conclusion

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR Only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electron Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Courtney Brown, whose telephone number is 571-270-3284. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8 am to 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's Supervisor, Johann Richter can be reached on 571-272-0646. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/821,981 Art Unit: 1616

Courtney A. Brown Patent Examiner AU 1616

Page 8

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Johann Richter **Supervisory Patent Examiner** AU 1616